# TTIC 31150/CMSC 31150 Mathematical Toolkit (Fall 2024)

#### Avrim Blum

Lecture 14: Probability over uncountably-infinite spaces, Gaussian RVs, Johnson-Lindenstrauss Lemma

# Recap

- Chernoff-Hoeffding bounds
- Use in randomized algorithm for routing to minimize congestion.
- Randomized complexity classes RP and BPP, connections to P/poly.

# Probability over uncountably-infinite spaces

- In finite or countable probability spaces, we could think of the probability distribution  $\nu$  as a function from  $\Omega$  to [0,1], assigning a probability to each element of  $\Omega$ .
- In uncountably-infinite spaces, like  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ , this is problematic:
  - $\triangleright$  At most n points x can have  $v(x) \ge 1/n$ .
  - $\triangleright$  Only countably many points x can have v(x) > 0. (Any such x must have  $v(x) \ge 1/n$  for some integer n).

To resolve, will only talk about probabilities of events from an allowed set of events known as a  $\sigma$ -algebra or  $\sigma$ -field.

# Probability over uncountably-infinite spaces

**Definition 1.1** Let  $2^{\Omega}$  denote the set of all subsets of  $\Omega$ . A set  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\Omega}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -field (or  $\sigma$ -algebra) if

- 1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- 2.  $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$  (where  $A^c = \Omega \setminus A$ ).

It is also closed under countable intersections (by De Morgan's laws)

3. For a (countable) sequence  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  such that each  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\cup_i A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ .

The sets in  $\mathcal F$  are the allowed events that may have probabilities (the *measurable* sets).

**Definition 1.2** *Given a \sigma-field*  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\Omega}$ *, a function*  $\nu : \mathcal{F} \to [0,1]$  *is known as a* probability measure *if* 

- 1.  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- 2.  $\nu(E^c) = 1 \nu(E)$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- 3. For a (countable) sequence of disjoint sets  $E_1, E_2, \ldots$  such that all  $E_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$\nu\left(\cup_{i}E_{i}\right) = \sum_{i}\nu(E_{i}).$$

Not necessarily for uncountably-infinite unions

# Probability over uncountably-infinite spaces

**Definition 1.1** Let  $2^{\Omega}$  denote the set of all subsets of  $\Omega$ . A set  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{\Omega}$  is called a  $\sigma$ -field (or  $\sigma$ -algebra) if

- 1.  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- 2.  $A \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow A^c \in \mathcal{F}$  (where  $A^c = \Omega \setminus A$ ).
- 3. For a (countable) sequence  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  such that each  $A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have  $\cup_i A_i \in \mathcal{F}$ .

The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb R$  that contains all intervals.

A real-valued **random variable** X is a measurable function over  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ : a function from  $\Omega$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every Borel set B, the set  $X^{-1}(B) = \{\omega : X(\omega) \in B\}$  is a measurable set (in  $\mathcal{F}$ ).

Equivalently, for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{\omega: X(\omega) \le c\}$  is a measurable set, and so has a well-defined probability.

Often, we will think of a random variable as just a probability distribution on its range.

### Random variables

- Given a R.V. X, we define its cumulative distribution function  $F_X(z) = \mathbb{P}[X \leq z]$ .
- Can observe that  $F_X$  is a non-decreasing function. If it is differentiable, then its derivative f is the density function of X, and we typically refer to X as a continuous R.V.
- $\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_{\Omega} X(\omega) d\nu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx$ .
- For discrete RVs, we had  $\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{\omega} X(\omega) \nu(\omega) = \sum_{\alpha} a \cdot \mathbb{P}(X = \alpha)$ .

### Gaussian Random variables

- A Gaussian Random Variable is an R.V. with density  $f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}}e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$ , for some  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$  which are its mean and variance respectively.
- Notationally, we write  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

Claim: 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx = 1.$$

Proof: 
$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2/2} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-y^2/2} dy$$
  

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(x^2+y^2)/2} dx dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{-r^2/2} r dr d\theta$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-r^2/2} r dr = -e^{-r^2/2} \Big|_{0}^{\infty} = 1.$$

### Gaussian Random variables

A couple more useful facts we'll need:

- For  $X \sim N(0,1), \lambda \in (0,1/2), \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda X^2}\right] = 1/\sqrt{1-2\lambda}.$
- Let  $Z = c_1 X_1 + c_2 X_2$  where  $X_1, X_2 \sim N(0,1)$  are independent. Then  $Z \sim N(0, c_1^2 + c_2^2)$ .
  - One way to think of this: consider taking an inner-product between the vector  $c = (c_1, c_2)$  and the vector  $(X_1, X_2)$ . Because a d-dimensional Gaussian is spherically-symmetric, we can instead choose an orthogonal basis where one basis vector is  $\hat{c} = {}^c/_{\parallel c \parallel}$  and the others are orthogonal (and so can be ignored). So, we just have a value taken from a single Gaussian, stretched by |c|.

Imagine you have n data points in a d-dimensional space, where d is large.

The JL lemma says that no matter how large d is, if you randomly project the data down to a space of dimension  $k = O\left(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ , then whp you will approximately preserve the relative distances between points up to a  $1 \pm \epsilon$  factor.

So, if all you care about are approximate distances, then you can wlog assume your data is in a not-too-high dimensional space.

How to randomly project? Choose k random vectors  $G_1, \ldots, G_k$  from spherical Gaussian, and project by inner-product:  $v \to (\langle G_1, v \rangle, \ldots, \langle G_k, v \rangle)$ . I.e.,  $v \to Gv$ .

The JL Lemma: Let  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Choose a random matrix  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  for  $k = \frac{8 \ln n}{\epsilon^2/2 - \epsilon^3/2}$ , with each  $G_{ij} \sim N(0,1)$  independently. Consider  $\varphi(v) = Gv/\sqrt{k}$ .

With probability at least 1 - 1/n, for all pairs  $v_i$ ,  $v_j$  we have:

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|v_i - v_j\|^2 \le \|\varphi(v_i) - \varphi(v_j)\|^2 \le (1 + \epsilon) \|v_i - v_j\|^2.$$

Note that since  $\varphi$  is linear,  $\varphi(v_i) - \varphi(v_j) = \varphi(v_i - v_j)$ . So, it suffices to prove that for a single vector  $w = v_i - v_j$ , with probability at least  $1 - 1/n^3$  we have:

$$(1 - \epsilon) \|w\|^2 \le \|\varphi(w)\| \le (1 + \epsilon) \|w\|^2$$
.

And then apply a union bound.

Claim: Let  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Choose a random matrix  $G \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times d}$  for  $k = \frac{8 \ln n}{\epsilon^2/2 - \epsilon^3/2}$ , with each  $G_{ij} \sim N(0,1)$  independently. With probability at least  $1 - 1/n^3$  we have:  $(1 - \epsilon) \|w\|^2 \leq \left\| Gw/\sqrt{k} \right\|^2 \leq (1 + \epsilon) \|w\|^2.$ 

#### Proof:

- Consider  $\frac{(Gw)_i}{\|w\|} = \frac{G_iw}{\|w\|} = \frac{1}{\|w\|} \sum_j G_{ij} w_j$ . This is a Gaussian RV  $X_i \sim N(0,1)$ .
- So,  $\frac{\|Gw\|^2}{\|w\|^2} = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i^2$  where  $X_i$  are independent.  $\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_i X_i^2\right] = k$ .
- Just need to show that for  $Z = \sum_i X_i^2$ , whp,  $(1 \epsilon)k \le Z \le (1 + \epsilon)k$ . (In other words, need tail bound for sum of independent squared-Gaussian R.V.s)

$$\mathbb{P}\left[Z \ge (1+\varepsilon)k\right] \le \mathbb{P}\left[e^{\lambda Z} \ge e^{\lambda \cdot (1+\varepsilon)k}\right] \\
\le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \cdot Z}\right]}{e^{\lambda \cdot (1+\varepsilon)k}} \\
= \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k} X_{i}^{2}}\right]}{e^{\lambda \cdot (1+\varepsilon)k}} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{E}\left[e^{\lambda \cdot X_{i}^{2}}\right]}{e^{\lambda \cdot (1+\varepsilon)k}} \\
= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-2\lambda}}}{e^{\lambda \cdot (1+\varepsilon)k}} \\
\le \left(\frac{e^{-2(1+\varepsilon)\lambda}}{1-2\lambda}\right)^{k/2} \\
\le (e^{-\varepsilon}(1+\varepsilon))^{k/2}$$

Finally, for  $k = \frac{8 \ln n}{\epsilon^2/2 - \epsilon^3/2}$ , this is sufficiently small

$$\leq \left( (1 - \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2})(1 + \varepsilon) \right)^{k/2}$$
$$\leq e^{-\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon^3}{2}\right)\frac{k}{2}}$$

#### Other direction is similar

(by Markov's inequality)

(by the independence of  $X_1, \ldots, X_k$ )

(by Lemma 2.3)

(assume  $\lambda < 1/2$ )

$$(\operatorname{let} \lambda = \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+\varepsilon)})$$

(by Taylor expansion of  $e^{-x}$ )

(by 
$$1 + x \le e^x$$
)

Conclusion: if you only care about approximate distances, approximate angles, etc, then can assume wlog that data lies in a space of dimension no greater than  $O(\frac{\log n}{\epsilon^2})$ .

Use for: approximate nearest-neighbor, streaming algorithms, ...